

## ON SOME REAL HYPERSURFACES OF A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

BY

MASAFUMI OKUMURA

**ABSTRACT.** A principal circle bundle over a real hypersurface of a complex projective space  $CP^n$  can be regarded as a hypersurface of an odd-dimensional sphere. From this standpoint we can establish a method to translate conditions imposed on a hypersurface of  $CP^n$  into those imposed on a hypersurface of  $S^{2n+1}$ . Some fundamental relations between the second fundamental tensor of a hypersurface of  $CP^n$  and that of a hypersurface of  $S^{2n+1}$  are given.

**Introduction.** As is well known a sphere  $S^{2n+1}$  of dimension  $2n + 1$  is a principal circle bundle over a complex projective space  $CP^n$  and the Riemannian structure on  $CP^n$  is given by the submersion  $\pi: S^{2n+1} \rightarrow CP^n$  [4], [7]. This suggests that fundamental properties of a submersion would be applied to the study of real submanifolds of a complex projective space. In fact, H. B. Lawson [2] has made one step in this direction. His idea is to construct a principal circle bundle  $\bar{M}^{2n}$  over a real hypersurface  $M^{2n-1}$  of  $CP^n$  in such a way that  $\bar{M}^{2n}$  is a hypersurface of  $S^{2n+1}$  and then to compare the length of the second fundamental tensors of  $M^{2n-1}$  and  $\bar{M}^{2n}$ . Thus we can apply theorems on hypersurfaces of  $S^{2n+1}$ .

In this paper, using Lawson's method, we prove a theorem which characterizes some remarkable classes of real hypersurfaces of  $CP^n$ . First of all, in §1, we state a lemma for a hypersurface of a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature for the later use. In §2, we recall fundamental formulas of a submersion which are obtained in [4], [7] and those established between the second fundamental tensors of  $M$  and  $\bar{M}$ . In §3, we give some identities which are valid in a real hypersurface of  $CP^n$ . After these preparations, we show, in §4, a geometric meaning of the commutativity of the second fundamental tensor of  $M$  in  $CP^n$  and a fundamental tensor of the submersion  $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ .

**1. Hypersurfaces of a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature.** Let  $\tilde{M}$  be an  $(m + 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with a Riemannian metric  $\bar{G}$  and  $i: \bar{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  be an isometric immersion of an  $m$ -dimensional differentiable

---

Received by the editors March 25, 1974 and, in revised form, September 9, 1974.  
*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 53C40, 53C20.

*Key words and phrases.* Submersion, fundamental tensor of submersion, second fundamental tensor, lift.

Copyright © 1975. American Mathematical Society

manifold  $\bar{M}$  into  $\tilde{M}$ . The Riemannian metric  $\bar{g}$  of  $\bar{M}$  is naturally induced from  $\tilde{G}$  in such a way that  $\bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \tilde{G}(i(\bar{X}), i(\bar{Y}))$ , where  $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$  are vector fields on  $\bar{M}$  and we denote by the same letter  $i$  the differential of the immersion. For an arbitrary point  $\bar{x} \in \bar{M}$ , we choose a unit normal vector and extend it to a field  $\bar{N}$ . The Riemannian connections  $\bar{D}$  in  $\tilde{M}$  and  $\bar{\nabla}$  in  $\bar{M}$  are related by the following formulas:

$$(1.1) \quad D_{i(\bar{X})} i(\bar{Y}) = i(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y}) + \bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{N},$$

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{D}_{i(\bar{X})} \bar{N} = -i(\bar{H}\bar{X}),$$

where  $\bar{H}$  is the second fundamental tensor of  $\bar{M}$  in  $\tilde{M}$ .

The mean curvature  $\bar{\mu}$  of  $\bar{M}$  in  $\tilde{M}$  is defined by

$$(1.3) \quad m\bar{\mu} = \text{trace } \bar{H}.$$

Let  $\tilde{R}$  and  $\bar{R}$  be curvature tensors of  $\tilde{M}$  and of  $\bar{M}$  respectively, then we have the following Gauss and Mainardi-Codazzi equations:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{G}(\tilde{R}(i(\bar{X}), i(\bar{Y}))i(\bar{Z}), i(\bar{W})) &= \bar{g}(R(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z}, \bar{W}) - \bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{X}, \bar{W}) \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{Y}, \bar{W}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.5) \quad \tilde{G}(\tilde{R}(i(\bar{X}), i(\bar{Y}))i(\bar{Z}), \bar{N}) = \bar{g}((\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{H})\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) - \bar{g}((\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}} \bar{H})\bar{X}, \bar{Z}),$$

where  $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}$  and  $\bar{W}$  are vector fields on  $\bar{M}$ .

If the ambient manifold is of constant curvature  $k$ , the curvature tensor  $\tilde{R}$  has the form

$$(1.6) \quad \tilde{R}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\tilde{Z} = k\{\tilde{G}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})\tilde{X} - \tilde{G}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Z})\tilde{Y}\}$$

for vector fields  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{Z}$  on  $\tilde{M}$ . Consequently we have

$$(1.7) \quad \bar{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} = k\{\bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{Y}\} + \bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{H}\bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{H}\bar{Y},$$

$$(1.8) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{H})\bar{Y} = (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}} \bar{H})\bar{X}.$$

We assume that  $\bar{M}$  has constant mean curvature, that is,  $\text{trace } \bar{H} = \text{const}$ .

Let  $\{\bar{E}_1, \dots, \bar{E}_m\}$  be an orthonormal basis in  $T_{\bar{x}}(\bar{M})$  and extend them to vector fields in a normal neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$  by parallel translation along geodesics with respect to the Riemannian connection of  $\bar{M}$ . Then we have  $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_i} \bar{E}_i = 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) at  $\bar{x}$ . Since  $\bar{H}$  and  $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_i} \bar{H}$  are both symmetric linear transformations on  $T(\bar{M})$ , we get, by using (1.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_i} \bar{H})\bar{E}_i, \bar{X}\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{g}(\bar{E}_i, (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_i} \bar{H})\bar{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{g}(\bar{E}_i, (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{H})\bar{E}_i) \\ &= \text{trace}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{H}) = \bar{X}(\text{trace } \bar{H}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(1.9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_i} \bar{H}) \bar{E}_i = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$(1.10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_i} \bar{H})) \bar{E}_i = 0 \quad \text{at } \bar{x}.$$

Now we prove the

LEMMA 1.1. *Let  $\bar{M}$  be a hypersurface of a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature  $k$ . If the second fundamental tensor  $\bar{H}$  satisfies for a constant  $\alpha$ ,*

$$(1.11) \quad \bar{H}^2 \bar{X} = \alpha \bar{H} \bar{X} + k \bar{X}, \quad \bar{X} \in \bar{T}(\bar{M})$$

then we have  $\bar{\nabla} \bar{H} = 0$ .

PROOF. Since  $\bar{H}$  is a symmetric operator and (1.7), (1.8) are valid, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}} \bar{H}) - \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{H})) \bar{Z} = \bar{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \bar{H} \bar{Z} - \bar{H}(\bar{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \bar{Z}) \\ & = k \{ \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{H} \bar{Z}) \bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{H} \bar{Z}) \bar{Y} \} + \bar{g}(\bar{H} \bar{Y}, \bar{H} \bar{Z}) \bar{H} \bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{H} \bar{X}, \bar{H} \bar{Z}) \bar{H} \bar{Y} \\ & \quad - k \{ \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) \bar{H} \bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z}) \bar{H} \bar{Y} \} - \bar{g}(\bar{H} \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) \bar{H}^2 \bar{X} + \bar{g}(\bar{H} \bar{X}, \bar{Z}) \bar{H}^2 \bar{Y} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{\bar{E}_1, \dots, \bar{E}_m\}$  be an orthonormal basis which is chosen as above and  $\bar{X}$  be a tangent vector at  $\bar{x}$ . Extend  $\bar{X}$  to a vector field in a normal neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$  by parallel translation along geodesics, then  $\bar{\nabla} \bar{X} = 0$  at  $\bar{x}$ . In the last equation we replace  $\bar{Y}$  and  $\bar{Z}$  by  $\bar{E}_i$  and sum over  $i$ . Then we have, from (1.8) and (1.10),

$$(1.12) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_i} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{H})) \bar{E}_i = \sum_{i=1}^m (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_i} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_i} \bar{H})) \bar{X} = 0 \quad \text{at } \bar{x},$$

because from (1.11) we know that  $\bar{M}$  has constant mean curvature. Furthermore (1.11) implies that  $\text{trace } \bar{H}^2 = \alpha \text{ trace } \bar{H} + mk = \text{const}$ . Differentiating this covariantly, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \bar{Y} \bar{X} (\text{trace } \bar{H}^2) = \text{trace} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{H})) \bar{H} + \text{trace} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}} \bar{H}) (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{H}) = 0,$$

from which, at  $\bar{x}$ ,

$$\text{trace} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{H})^2 = - \text{trace} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{H})) \bar{H} = - \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{g}((\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{H})) \bar{E}_i, \bar{H} \bar{E}_i).$$

Thus we have

$$\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla} \bar{H}, \bar{\nabla} \bar{H}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \text{trace} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_i} \bar{H})^2 = - \sum_{i,j=1}^m \bar{g}((\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_i} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_j} \bar{H})) \bar{E}_j, \bar{H} \bar{E}_i) = 0,$$

because of (1.12). This completes the proof.

**2. Submersion and immersion.** Let  $\bar{M}$  and  $M$  be differentiable manifolds of dimension  $n + 1$  and  $n$  respectively and assume that there exists a differentiable mapping  $\pi$  of  $\bar{M}$  onto  $M$  which has maximum rank, that is, each differential map  $\pi_*$  of  $\pi$  is onto. Hence, for each  $x \in M$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is a 1-dimensional submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ , which is called the fibre over  $x$ . We suppose that every fibre is connected. A vector field on  $\bar{M}$  is called vertical if it is always tangent to fibres, horizontal if always orthogonal to fibres; we use corresponding terminology for individual vectors. Thus  $\bar{X} \in T_{\bar{x}}(\bar{M})$  decomposes as  $\bar{X}^V + \bar{X}^H$ , where  $\bar{X}^V$  and  $\bar{X}^H$  denote respectively vertical part and horizontal part of  $\bar{X}$ .

We assume that the mapping  $\pi$  is a Riemannian submersion, that is, there are given in  $\bar{M}$  a vertical vector field  $\bar{V}$  and a Riemannian metric  $\bar{g}$  of  $\bar{M}$  satisfying the condition that  $\bar{V}$  is a unit Killing vector field with respect to the Riemannian metric  $\bar{g}$ . Then a Riemannian metric  $g$  can be defined on  $M$  by

$$(2.1) \quad g(X, Y)(x) = \bar{g}(X^L, Y^L)(\pi(\bar{x})),$$

where  $\bar{x}$  is an arbitrary point of  $\bar{M}$  such that  $\pi(\bar{x}) = x$  and  $X^L, Y^L$  are the lifts of  $X, Y \in T_x(M)$  respectively. Hence we have

$$(2.2) \quad g(X, Y)^L = \bar{g}(X^L, Y^L).$$

The fundamental tensor  $F$  of the submersion  $\pi$  is a skew-symmetric tensor of type (1.1) on  $M$  and is related to covariant differentiation  $\bar{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  in  $\bar{M}$  and  $M$ , respectively, by the following formulas:

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{Y^L} X^L = (\nabla_Y X)^L + \bar{g}(F^L Y^L, X^L) \bar{V} = (\nabla_Y X)^L + g(FY, X)^L \bar{V},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{V}} X^L = \bar{\nabla}_{X^L} \bar{V} = -F^L X^L.$$

Now we consider two Riemannian submersions  $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M'$  and  $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow M$  with 1-dimensional fibres and suppose that  $\bar{M}$  is a hypersurface of  $\tilde{M}$  which respects the submersion  $\tilde{\pi}$ . That is, suppose that there are immersions  $\tilde{i}: \bar{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  and  $i: M \rightarrow M'$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{M} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}} & \tilde{M} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ M & \xrightarrow{i} & M' \end{array}$$

commutes and the immersion  $\tilde{i}$  is a diffeomorphism on the fibres. The commutativity implies that for the unit vertical vector field  $\bar{V}$  of  $\bar{M}$ ,  $\tilde{i}(\bar{V})$  is also the unit vertical vector field of  $\tilde{M}$  and that for any tangent vector field  $X$  to  $M$ ,  $i(X)^L = \tilde{i}(X^L)$ . Furthermore, for a field of unit normal vector  $N$  to  $M$  defined in a neigh-

borhood of  $x \in M$ , the lift  $N^L$  is a field of unit normal vectors to  $\bar{M}$  defined in a tubular neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$ , where  $\bar{x}$  is an arbitrary point on a fibre over  $x$ .

We denote by  $\bar{D}$ ,  $\bar{\nabla}$ ,  $D$  and  $\nabla$  the Riemannian connections of  $\tilde{M}$ ,  $\bar{M}$ ,  $M'$  and  $M$  respectively. By means of (1.1), (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{D}_{\tilde{i}(X^L)} \tilde{i}(Y^L) &= \tilde{i}(\bar{\nabla}_{X^L} Y^L) + \bar{g}(\bar{H}X^L, Y^L)N^L \\ &= \tilde{i}((\nabla_X Y)^L + \bar{g}(F^L X^L, Y^L)\bar{V}) + \bar{g}(\bar{H}X^L, Y^L)N^L,\end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{D}_{\tilde{i}(X^L)} \tilde{i}(\bar{V}) = \tilde{i}(\bar{\nabla}_{X^L} \bar{V}) + \bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{V}, X^L)N^L.$$

Using the above two equations and Gauss equation (1.1) and comparing the vertical parts and horizontal parts, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{g}(\bar{H}X^L, Y^L) = g(HX, Y)^L,$$

$$(2.6) \quad ('Fi(X))^L = \tilde{i}(FX)^L - \bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{V}, X^L)N^L,$$

where  $'F$  is the fundamental tensor of the submersion  $\tilde{\pi}$ . Thus the transforms  $'Fi(X)$  and  $'FN$  of  $i(X)$  and  $N$  by  $'F$  can be written in the form:

$$(2.7) \quad 'Fi(X) = i(FX) + u(X)N,$$

$$(2.8) \quad 'FN = -i(U),$$

$u(X) = g(U, X)$ . Moreover the following identities are known [1].

$$(2.9) \quad \bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{V}, X^L) = -g(U, X)^L,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{V}, \bar{V}) = 0,$$

$$(2.11) \quad \text{trace } \bar{H} = (\text{trace } H)^L.$$

**LEMMA 2.1.** *If the second fundamental tensor  $\bar{H}$  of the hypersurface  $\bar{M}$  is parallel, the second fundamental tensor  $H$  of  $M$  and the fundamental tensor  $F$  of the submersion  $\pi$  commutes.*

**PROOF.** Differentiating (2.5) covariantly in the direction of  $\bar{V}$  and making use of the fact that  $g(HX, Y) \circ \pi$  is invariant along the fibre, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{V}(g(HX, Y) \circ \pi) &= \bar{V}(\bar{g}(\bar{H}X^L, Y^L)) = \bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{V}} X^L, Y^L) + \bar{g}(\bar{H}X^L, \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{V}} Y^L) \\ &= -\bar{g}(\bar{H}F^L X^L, Y^L) - \bar{g}(\bar{H}X^L, F^L Y^L) \\ &= -g(HFX, Y)^L + g(FHX, Y)^L = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.4) and the skew-symmetric property of  $F$ . This completes the proof.

**3. Real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space.** Let  $S^{n+2}$  be an odd-dimensional unit sphere in an  $(n+3)$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $E^{n+3} = \mathbb{C}^{(n+3)/2}$  and  $\tilde{J}$  the natural almost complex structure on  $\mathbb{C}^{(n+3)/2}$ . The image  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{J}\tilde{N}$  of the outward unit normal vector  $\tilde{N}$  to  $S^{n+2}$  by  $\tilde{J}$  defines a tangent vector field on  $S^{n+2}$  and the integral curves of  $\tilde{V}$  are great circles  $S^1$  in  $S^{n+2}$  which are the fibres of the standard fibration  $\tilde{\pi}$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad S^1 \rightarrow S^{n+2} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} CP^{(n+1)/2}$$

onto complex projective space. The usual Riemannian structure on  $CP^{(n+1)/2}$  is characterized by the fact that  $\tilde{\pi}$  is a submersion.

Let  $M^n$  be a real hypersurface of a complex projective space  $CP^{(n+1)/2}$ . Then the principal circle bundle  $\bar{M}^{n+1}$  over  $M^n$  is a hypersurface of  $S^{n+2}$  and the natural immersion  $\bar{M}^{n+1}$  into  $S^{n+2}$  respects the submersion  $\tilde{\pi}$ . Thus  $S^{n+2}$  and  $CP^{(n+1)/2}$  are in the same situations as  $\tilde{M}$  and  $M'$  respectively, so we continue to use the same notations as those in §2. In the sequel, we always assume that the hypersurface is connected.

In  $S^{n+2}$  we have the family of products  $M_{p,q} = S^p \times S^q$ , where  $p+q = n+1$ . By choosing the spheres to lie in complex subspaces we get fibrations

$$S^1 \rightarrow M_{2p+1, 2q+1} \rightarrow M_{p,q}^c,$$

compatible with (3.1), where  $p+q = (n-1)/2$ . In the special case  $p=0$ , the hypersurface is a homogeneous, positively curved manifold diffeomorphic to the sphere.

The almost complex structure  $J$  of  $CP^{(n+1)/2}$  is nothing but the fundamental tensor of the submersion  $\tilde{\pi}$ , that is,

$$(3.2) \quad J^L \tilde{X} = -\bar{D}_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{V}, \quad \tilde{X} \in T(S^{n+2}).$$

From the discussions of §2, the transform  $Ji(X)$  of  $i(X)$  by  $J$ , can be put

$$(3.3) \quad Ji(X) = i(FX) + g(U, X)U$$

and we know that  $F$ ,  $U$  and  $g$  define the induced almost contact metric structure on  $M$ . Hence we have, for any  $X \in T(M)$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad F^2 X = -X + g(U, X)U,$$

$$(3.5) \quad g(U, U) = 1,$$

$$(3.6) \quad FU = 0.$$

Differentiating (3.3) covariantly and making use of the fact that the almost complex structure  $J$  of  $CP^{(n+1)/2}$  is covariant constant, we have easily

$$(3.7) \quad (\nabla_Y F)X = u(X)HY - g(HX, Y)U,$$

$$(3.8) \quad \nabla_Y U = FHY.$$

LEMMA 3.1.  $\bar{g}(\overline{HV}, \overline{HV}) = 1$ .

PROOF. Let  $\bar{x}$  be an arbitrary point of  $M$  and  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis at  $T_{\pi(\bar{x})}(M)$ . We choose an orthonormal basis  $\{\bar{E}_1, \dots, \bar{E}_{n+1}\}$  at  $T_{\bar{x}}(\bar{M})$  in such a way that  $\bar{E}_i = E_i^L$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) and  $\bar{E}_{n+1} = \bar{V}$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\overline{HV}, \overline{HV}) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \bar{g}(\overline{HV}, \bar{E}_\alpha) \bar{g}(\overline{HV}, \bar{E}_\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{g}(\overline{HV}, E_i^L) \bar{g}(\overline{HV}, E_i^L) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n g(U, E_i) g(U, E_i) = g(U, U) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

because of (2.9), (2.10) and (3.5).

**4. Real hypersurface satisfying a certain commutative condition.** In the following we assume that a real hypersurface  $M^n$  of a complex projective space  $CP^{(n+1)/2}$  satisfies the commutative condition

$$(4.1) \quad FH = HF.$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.1 if, as a hypersurface of  $S^{n+2}$ , the principal circle bundle  $\bar{M}^{n+1}$  over  $M^n$  has the parallel second fundamental tensor, then  $M$  satisfies (4.1) and  $M_{p,q}^c$  is an example. In this section we discuss the converse problem, that is, we want to prove that  $M_{p,q}^c$  is the only hypersurface of  $CP^{(n+1)/2}$  which satisfies (4.1).

We recall the structure equations of a hypersurface of a complex projective space  $CP^{(n+1)/2}$  of the maximal sectional curvature 4:

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(FY, Z)FX - g(FX, Z)FY \\ &\quad - 2g(FX, Y)FZ + g(HY, Z)HX - g(HX, Z)HY, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.3) \quad (\nabla_X H)Y - (\nabla_Y H)X = g(U, X)FY - g(U, Y)FX - 2g(FX, Y)U,$$

where  $R$  denotes the curvature tensor of the hypersurface. So we have

$$(4.4) \quad g((\nabla_X H)Y, U) - g((\nabla_Y H)X, U) = -2g(FX, Y),$$

because of (3.5) and (3.6). From (4.1) we easily see that  $U$  is an eigenvector of  $H$ , that is,

$$(4.5) \quad HU = \alpha U, \quad \alpha = g(HU, U).$$

Differentiating (4.5) covariantly and making use of (3.8) and (4.1), we have

$$g((\nabla_X H)Y, U) + g(H^2 FX, Y) = (X\alpha)g(U, Y) + \alpha g(HFX, Y).$$

Forming a similar equation by interchanging  $X$  and  $Y$  in the last equation and using (4.4), we get

$$(4.6) \quad -2g(FX, Y) + 2g(H^2 FX, Y) = (X\alpha)g(U, Y) - (Y\alpha)g(U, X) + 2\alpha g(HFX, Y).$$

In (4.6) if we replace  $X$  by  $U$ , we obtain  $Y\alpha = (U\alpha)g(U, Y)$  and substituting this into (4.6) yields  $FH^2 X - \alpha FHX - FX = 0$ . Transforming this by  $F$  and making use of (3.4), we have

$$(4.7) \quad H^2 X - \alpha HX - X + g(U, X)U = 0.$$

We prove the

LEMMA 4.1. *If a hypersurface  $M^n$  of  $CP^{(n+1)/2}$  satisfies (4.1), the eigenvalue  $\alpha$  is constant.*

PROOF. From the above discussions we have  $\text{grad } \alpha = \beta U$ ,  $\beta = U\alpha$ . Differentiating this covariantly, we get  $\nabla_X \text{grad } \alpha = (X\beta)U + \beta FHX$ , from which

$$(4.8) \quad (Y\beta)g(U, X) - (X\beta)g(U, Y) = 2\beta g(FHX, Y),$$

because of the fact that  $g(\nabla_X \text{grad } \alpha, Y) = g(\nabla_Y \text{grad } \alpha, X)$ .

Replacing  $X$  by  $U$  and making use of (3.5), (3.6), we get  $Y\beta = (U\beta)g(U, Y)$ . Substituting this into (4.8), we get  $\beta g(FHX, Y) = 0$ . Now let  $x$  be a point of  $M^n$  where  $\beta(x) \neq 0$ . Then the last equation shows that  $FH = 0$  at  $x$ . Hence, from (4.6),  $FX = 0$ . But  $F$  has the maximal rank; this is a contradiction. Thus we know that at every point of  $M^n$ ,  $\beta = 0$ . Hence  $\alpha$  is constant.

LEMMA 4.2. *If the second fundamental tensor  $H$  of the hypersurface  $M^n$  in  $CP^{(n+1)/2}$  satisfies (4.7), the second fundamental tensor  $\bar{H}$  of  $\bar{M}^{n+1}$  in  $S^{n+2}$  satisfies*

$$(4.9) \quad \bar{H}^2 \bar{X} = \alpha \bar{H} \bar{X} + \bar{X},$$

for any  $\bar{X} \in T(\bar{M}^{n+1})$ .

PROOF. Let  $X$  be a tangent vector of  $M^n$  and first compute  $\bar{H}^2 X^L - \alpha \bar{H} X^L - X^L$  at  $\bar{x} \in \bar{M}^{n+1}$ . Since any tangent vector  $\bar{Y}$  of  $\bar{M}^{n+1}$  can be written in the form  $\bar{Y} = \bar{Y}^H + \bar{Y}^V = Y^L + \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{V})\bar{V}$ , at  $\bar{x}$ , where  $Y$  is a tangent vector of  $M^n$  at  $\pi(\bar{x})$ , we have

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\bar{H}^2 X^L - \alpha \bar{H} X^L - X^L, \bar{Y}) &= \bar{g}(\bar{H}^2 X^L - \alpha \bar{H} X^L - X^L, Y^L) \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(\bar{H}^2 X^L - \alpha \bar{H} X^L, \bar{V})\bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{V}). \end{aligned}$$



Since (4.5) implies that  $g(HX, U) = \alpha g(U, X)$ , it follows from (2.9) that  $\bar{g}(\bar{H}(HX)^L, \bar{V}) = -\alpha g(U, X)^L$ .

On the other hand, (2.5) and the relation  $g(HX, Y)^L = \bar{g}((HX)^L, Y^L)$  show that

$$(4.11) \quad \bar{H}X^L = (HX)^L + \bar{g}(\bar{H}X^L, \bar{V})\bar{V} = (HX)^L - g(X, U)^L\bar{V}.$$

Hence

$$(4.12) \quad \bar{H}^2X^L = (H^2X)^L - \alpha g(X, U)^L\bar{V} - g(X, U)^L\bar{H}\bar{V}.$$

Thus we have

$$(4.13) \quad \bar{H}^2X^L - \alpha\bar{H}X^L - X^L = (H^2X - \alpha HX - X)^L - g(X, U)^L\bar{H}\bar{V},$$

and consequently

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} &\bar{g}(\bar{H}^2X^L - \alpha\bar{H}X^L - X^L, \bar{Y}) \\ &= g(H^2X - \alpha HX - X + g(X, U)U, Y)^L = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because of (2.10) and (4.7).

Next we consider  $\bar{H}^2\bar{V} - \alpha\bar{H}\bar{V} - \bar{V}$ . For any  $\bar{Y} \in T_{\bar{x}}(\bar{M}^{n+1})$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\bar{H}^2\bar{V} - \alpha\bar{H}\bar{V} - \bar{V}, \bar{Y}) &= \bar{g}(\bar{H}^2\bar{V} - \alpha\bar{H}\bar{V} - \bar{V}, Y^L + \bar{g}(\bar{V}, \bar{Y})\bar{V}) \\ &= \bar{g}(\bar{H}^2\bar{V}, Y^L) - \alpha\bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{V}, Y^L), \end{aligned}$$

because of (2.10) and Lemma 3.1.

Making use of (4.12), we have

$$(4.15) \quad \bar{g}(\bar{H}^2\bar{V} - \alpha\bar{H}\bar{V} - \bar{V}, \bar{Y}) = -\alpha g(U, Y)^L + \alpha g(U, Y)^L = 0.$$

Combining (4.14) and (4.15), we have (4.9). This completes the proof.

As a consequence of Lemmas 1.1, 2.1 and 4.2, we have

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $M^n$  be a hypersurface of a complex projective space  $CP^{(n+1)/2}$  and  $\pi: \bar{M}^{n+1} \rightarrow M^n$  the submersion which is compatible with the fibration  $S^1 \rightarrow S^{n+2} \rightarrow CP^{(n+1)/2}$ . In order that the second fundamental tensor  $H$  of  $M^n$  commute with the fundamental tensor  $F$  of the submersion  $\pi$ , it is necessary and sufficient that the second fundamental tensor  $\bar{H}$  of  $\bar{M}^{n+1}$  is parallel.*

From this theorem and theorems in Ryan's papers [5], [6], we have

**THEOREM 4.4.**  *$M_{p,q}^c$  are the only complete hypersurfaces of a complex projective space in which the second fundamental tensor  $H$  commutes with the fundamental tensor  $F$  of the submersion  $\pi$ .*

Since in [3] we proved that the induced almost contact structure of a hypersurface of a Kaehlerian manifold is normal if and only if  $H$  commutes with  $F$ , we have

**COROLLARY 4.5.**  $M_{p,q}^c$  is the only normal almost contact hypersurface of a complex projective space.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. S. Ishihara and M. Konishi, *Differential geometry of fibred spaces*, A Report in Differential Geometry, Tokyo, 1973.
2. H. B. Lawson, Jr., *Rigidity theorems in rank-1 symmetric spaces*, J. Differential Geometry 4 (1970), 349–357. MR 42 #2394.
3. M. Okumura, *Certain almost contact hypersurfaces in Kaehlerian manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvatures*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 16 (1964), 270–284. MR 31 #694.
4. B. O'Neill, *The fundamental equations of a submersion*, Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966), 459–469. MR 34 #751.
5. P. J. Ryan, *Homogeneity and some curvature conditions for hypersurfaces*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 21 (1969), 363–388. MR 40 #6458.
6. ———, *Hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor*, Osaka J. Math. 8 (1971), 251–259. MR 45 #5918.
7. K. Yano and S. Ishihara, *Fibred spaces with invariant Riemannian metric*, Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep. 19 (1967), 317–360. MR 36 #7075.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAITAMA UNIVERSITY, 255 SHIMO OKUBO, URAWA CITY, JAPAN